

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/629,806	07/30/2003	Miwa Kozawa	030923	9494
38834 7	590 11/29/2004		EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			LEE, SIN J	
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		1752	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	— Ш			
	10/629,806	KOZAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sin J. Lee	1752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 02.3	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) danged will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONI and date of this communication, even if timely file	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quavle 1935 C.D. 11 4	Secution as to the merits is				
Disposition of Claims		00 0.0. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10,12 and 14-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 11 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob xaminer. Note the attached Office	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-29-04. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/629,806 Page 2

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the amendment of September 2, 2004, previous 112 rejection of claim 16 is hereby withdrawn.

- 2. In view of showing under 37 CFR 1.132, previous 102(3) rejections of claims 1-11 and 14-21 over Nozaki et al (US 2003/0102285 A1) are hereby withdrawn.
- 3. In view of applicants' statement that the present application and U.S. Patent Application Publication No.2003/0102285 were, at the time the present invention was made, owned by Fujitsu Limited, previous 103(a) rejection of claim 12 is hereby withdrawn.
- 4. In view of the verified English translation of the priority document, JP 2002-240082, filed on August 21, 2002, previous 103(a) rejections of claims 1-9 and 14-21 over Kozawa et al (EP'043), which is published on May 28, 2003, are hereby withdrawn.
- 5. In view of the verified English translation of the priority document, JP 2002-240082, filed on August 21, 2002, previous 103(a) rejections of claims 1-9 and 14-21 over copending Application No. 10/305,258, which is filed on November 27, 2002, are hereby withdrawn.
- 6. In view of the terminal disclaimer, previous double patenting rejections of claims 1-9 and 14-21 over copending Application No. 10/305,258 are hereby withdrawn.
- 7. Due to new grounds of rejections, the following rejections are made non-final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,806

Art Unit: 1752

9. Claims 1-10, 12, and 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishibashi et al (US 6,579,657 B1) in view of Vasta (4,572,870).

In col.2, lines 38-57, Ishibashi teaches a method for manufacturing a semiconductor device: A first resist pattern is formed from a first resist (a mixture of *novolac resin* and a naphthoquinonediazide photosensitive agent) on a semiconductor base layer. A second resist is formed on the first resist pattern which generates crosslinking reaction in the presence of an acid. A crosslinked film is formed at a portion of the second resist contacting with the first resist pattern by the agency of an acid fed from the first resist pattern. Non-crosslinked portions of the second resist are removed (i.e., developed) to form a second resist pattern. Finally, the semiconductor base layer is subjected to etching through the second resist pattern used as a mask. Ishibashi teaches (see col.2, lines 31-38, lines 62-65) as the second resist material, a fine pattern-forming material, which is a *mixture* of *water soluble* resin such as polyvinyl alcohol or polyvinyl acetal and a crosslinking agent such as a melamine derivative or a urea derivative.

Ishibashi's second resist does not contain a nitrogen-containing compound.

Vasta teaches a coating composition comprising a resin, a curing agent, and a bicyclic amidine (a nitrogen containing compound) (col.1, lines 41-62). Vasta states (col.1, lines 35-40) that such coating composition is stable against weather, corrosion and abrasion and specifically states (col.4, lines 32-36) that the bicyclic amidine significantly extends the pot life of the composition. Based on this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a nitrogen containing compound such as

Application/Control Number: 10/629,806

Art Unit: 1752

Vasta's bicyclic amidine in Ishibashi's second resist composition in order to stabilize the second resist composition and to increase the pot life of the second resist composition. Therefore, Ishibashi in view of Vasta would render obvious present inventions of claims 1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 16-21.

With respect to present claim 3, Vasta teaches that the bicyclic amidine can be replaced with a strong organic base such as tertiary alkyl ammonium hydroxide. Since the prior art teaches the equivalence of bicyclic amidine and tertiary alkyl ammonium hydroxide, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a tertiary alkyl ammonium hydroxide in Ishibashi's second resist composition in order to stabilize the second resist composition and to increase the pot life of the second resist composition. Therefore, Ishibashi in view of Vasta would render obvious present invention of claim 3.

Ishibashi teaches (col.9, lines 6-12) that in order to improve the film-forming properties, surface active agents such as non-ionic polyoxyethylene nonylphenyl ether type surfactant can be added to the second resist material. Therefore, Ishibashi in view of Vasta would render obvious present inventions of claims 5-7.

With respect to present claims 10 and 12, Ishibashi teaches (col.7, lines 34-50) that as his *water-soluble* resin for the second resist, polyacrylic acid, polyvinyl acetal, polyvinylpyrrolidone, polyvinyl alcohol, polyethyleneimine, polyethylene oxide, styrenemaleic acid copolymer, polyvinylamine resin, polyallylamine, oxazoline group-containing resists, water-soluble melamine resins, water-soluble urea resins, alkyd resins, and sulfone amide resins can be used and that the water-soluble resins may be used singly

Application/Control Number: 10/629,806

Art Unit: 1752

or *in combination of two or more*. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the combination of polyvinyl acetal (or polyvinyl alcohol) and styrene-maleic acid copolymer as Ishibashi's water soluble resin for the second resist with a reasonable expectation of obtaining a material for finely isolated resist patterns capable of reducing an isolation size or hole size in the pattern when the resist pattern is formed in a semiconductor manufacturing process. Since the styrene-maleic acid copolymer is water soluble aromatic compound as well as a resin containing an aromatic compound in a portion thereof, Ishibashi in view of Vasta would render obvious present inventions of claims 10 and 12.

Ishibashi teaches (col.9, lines 14-22) that the solvents for the second resist may be water and alcoholic solvents. Therefore, Ishibashi in view of Vasta would render obvious present invention of claim 14 and 15.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 11 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Ishibashi does not teach or suggest those specific compounds of present claims 11 and 13.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sin J. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1333. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am EST to 5:30 pm EST.

Art Unit: 1752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly, can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S.J. L.

S. Lee

November 28, 2004

Si J. Lee

Patent Exammer

Technology Center 1700